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Summary

Five single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located in the calpain 1, (mu/l) large subunit (CAPN1), calpastatin (CAST), and cathepsin D (CTSD) genes were analyzed in a large sample of Piemontese cattle. The aim of this study was to evaluate allele and genotype frequencies of these SNPs and to investigate associations of CAPN1, CAST, and CTSD gene variants with meat quality traits. Minor allele frequencies ranged from 30 to 48%. The presence of the A allele at CAPN530 increased yellowness and drip loss. The CAST282 G allele was associated with an increased drip loss compared to the C allele, and the CAST2959 A allele decreased redness compared to the G allele.

Keywords calpain 1 (mu/l) large subunit, calpastatin, cathepsin D, meat quality, Piedmontese, single-nucleotide polymorphism

The most important postmortem changes for muscle protein degradation are the release of cathepsins and the increased activity of calpains. Their activity influences meat tenderness, and genes coding for these proteins are considered functional candidates for meat quality (MQ) traits (Cafè et al. 2010). Some SNPs associated with meat traits are the following: CAPN530 (AF248054.2:g.4558G>A), located in exon 14; CAPN4751 (AF245054.2:g.6545C>T), located in intron 17; CAST2959 (AF159246.1:g.2959A>G), located in the 3′UTR of the gene; CAST2870 (AF159246.1:g.2870A>G), located in the 3′UTR of the gene; CAST282 (AY008267:g.282G>C), located in intron 5; and CTSD (AB055312:g.77G>A), located in the coding region of cathepsin D. The investigated SNPs have been reported to be involved in beef or pork quality traits, and some of them have been incorporated into commercial tests. However, these tests have not been validated for double-muscled beef breeds. The Piemontese is the most important Italian beef cattle breed (Albera et al. 2004); it is highly specialized for beef production and exhibits double muscling. This study aimed to estimate allele and genotype frequencies for 5 SNPs located in three different genes, CAPN1, CAST, and CTSD, and to investigate associations between these gene variants and MQ traits using data from 990 young Piemontese bulls.

Details on sample collection and procedures for measurement of MQ can be found in Boukha et al. (2011). Traits of interest for this study were muscle pH (pH24h), reflectance coordinates (L*, lightness; a*, redness; b*, yellowness), shear force (SF, kg), drip loss (DL, %), and cooking loss (CL, %) (Table S1).

The investigated genes were genotyped using the ARMS-PCR and RFLP-PCR techniques. Primer sequences, annealing temperatures, enzymes, and fragment sizes are presented in Table S2.

Estimation of allele and genotype frequencies was performed using GENEPOP ver. 4.0 (Rousset & Raymond 2007). An association study for CAPN1, CAST, and CTSD was carried out using Bayesian procedures and by performing numerical integration through the Gibbs sampler on the basis of the following mixed-inheritance linear animal model:
\[ y_{ijk} = \mu + \text{HERD}_i + \text{WLA}_j + \sum_{l=1}^{n} x_{ijkl} \bar{p}_l + \sum_{l=1}^{n} z_{ijkl} + \epsilon_{ijk} \]

Where \( y_{ijk} \) was a phenotypic measure for a trait, \( \text{HERD}_i \) was the effect of the fattening herd (124 levels), \( \text{WLA}_j \) was the week of MQ analysis (92 levels), \( x_{ijkl} \) was the infinitesimal genetic effect of individual \( k \), \( x_{ijkl} (0,1,2) \) counts the number of copies of the minor frequency allele at the \( l \)th SNP of subject \( ijk \), \( \bar{p}_l \) is the additive effect of the \( l \)th SNP, (0.1) equals 1 if subject \( ijk \) was heterozygous at the \( l \)th SNP or zero otherwise, \( \gamma_l \) is a deviation owing to dominance for the \( l \)th locus, and \( \epsilon_{ijk} \) was a random residual term. The model was fitted to estimate, for all traits, the contribution of each SNP separately, the aggregated effects of the SNPs within a gene, or the aggregated contribution of all SNPs. Parameters of concern were dispersion parameters, and additive and dominance effects of SNPs as defined by Falconer & Mackay (1996). The proportion of the additive genetic variance explained by all SNPs, single SNP, \( \text{CAPN1} \), and \( \text{CAST} \) was computed as the percentage reduction of the polygenic variance, estimated with appropriate models (i.e., models with single SNP or pool of SNPs), relative to the estimate provided by a model including polygenic effects only. The posterior median was used as a point estimate of parameters of concern. We computed \( P_1 \), which was the posterior probability for the estimated SNP effect being >0.1 (for positive effects) or lower than −0.1 (for negative effects) polygenic standard deviation, and \( P_2 \), which was the posterior probability for the proportion of the additive genetic variance explained by all SNPs, single SNP, \( \text{CAPN1} \), and \( \text{CAST} \) of being >0. An association and the proportion of variance were considered to be relevant when either \( P_1 \) or \( P_2 \) was at least 80%.

Allele and genotype frequencies are listed in Table S3. Minor allele frequency (MAF) at \( \text{CAST282} \) was in agreement with findings of a previous study on Piemontese cattle (Lisa & Di Stasio 2009). The predominance of the A allele at \( \text{CAST2959} \) was also reported by Curi et al. (2009). The frequency of the G allele at \( \text{CAST2870} \) was similar to that observed by Corva et al. (2007) in an Angus × Hereford crossbred population.

Consistent with Lisa & Di Stasio (2009), MAF at \( \text{CAPN4751} \) was close to 0.5. Minor allele frequency at \( \text{CAPN530} \) was comparable to the frequency estimated by Allais et al. (2011) for Limousin and Blond d’Aquitaine.

The \( \text{Cathepsin D} \) SNP showed a low frequency of the A allele, and it seems to be monomorphic in Piemontese cattle. Across breed, variation in allele frequencies may be related to variation in cathepsin D content and activity (Rosochacki et al. 2005).

Estimates of the proportion (%) of the additive genetic variance explained by all SNPs, single SNP, \( \text{CAPN1} \), and \( \text{CAST} \) are presented in Table 1. The proportion of additive genetic variance explained was smaller than 25% for all traits, with the exception of DL. The probability of the proportion of the additive genetic variance explained being different from 0 was lower than 80%. This means that the investigated SNPs explain a limited amount of the additive genetic variance of MQ traits.

The estimated additive effect for \( \text{CAST282} \) indicated that the G allele was associated with a 0.14% increase in DL (Table 2). \( \text{CAST282} \) is in the intronic region, and its association with DL may be due to linkage with other mutations (possibly the causal mutation) that lie in the coding

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model (genetic effects other than the polygenic effect)</th>
<th>Trait&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>pH24h</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>CL</th>
<th>SF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All SNPs in ( \text{CAPN1}, \text{CAST}, ) and ( \text{CTSD} ) genes</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8 (55)</td>
<td>−15.0 (40)</td>
<td>19.8 (64)</td>
<td>27.4 (65)</td>
<td>31.7 (75)</td>
<td>−12.7 (43)</td>
<td>1.3 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-gene models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All SNPs in ( \text{CTSD} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.0 (57)</td>
<td>−6.3 (45)</td>
<td>6.7 (55)</td>
<td>18.8 (60)</td>
<td>27.2 (73)</td>
<td>18.1 (60)</td>
<td>8.6 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All SNPs in ( \text{CAPN1} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.8 (52)</td>
<td>−4.4 (47)</td>
<td>2.0 (51)</td>
<td>24.2 (64)</td>
<td>27.8 (73)</td>
<td>17.5 (61)</td>
<td>2.6 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All SNPs in ( \text{CAST} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4 (51)</td>
<td>−12.3 (42)</td>
<td>13.1 (59)</td>
<td>16.2 (60)</td>
<td>26.3 (72)</td>
<td>17.3 (60)</td>
<td>1.0 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-SNP models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CAST282} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.0 (56)</td>
<td>−7.3 (45)</td>
<td>9.5 (57)</td>
<td>20.0 (61)</td>
<td>28.0 (74)</td>
<td>18.4 (60)</td>
<td>−3.2 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CAST2959} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.4 (57)</td>
<td>−12.7 (40)</td>
<td>7.4 (55)</td>
<td>14.0 (58)</td>
<td>27.0 (73)</td>
<td>14 (58)</td>
<td>10.4 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CAST2870} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.2 (55)</td>
<td>−6.8 (45)</td>
<td>8.7 (56)</td>
<td>21.8 (62)</td>
<td>27.2 (73)</td>
<td>19.3 (61)</td>
<td>0.5 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CAPN530} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.8 (55)</td>
<td>−3.3 (48)</td>
<td>6.9 (55)</td>
<td>25.6 (64)</td>
<td>30.7 (74)</td>
<td>18.6 (60)</td>
<td>5.3 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CAPN4751} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.0 (56)</td>
<td>−7.7 (44)</td>
<td>7.0 (55)</td>
<td>20.4 (61)</td>
<td>29.5 (75)</td>
<td>20.2 (62)</td>
<td>7.8 (54)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>The proportion of the additive genetic variance explained by all SNPs, single SNP, \( \text{CAPN1} \), and \( \text{CAST} \) was computed as the percentage reduction of the polygenic variance, estimated with appropriate models (i.e., models with single SNP or pool of SNPs), relative to the estimate provided by a model including polygenic effects only.

<sup>2</sup>The posterior probability (%) for the proportion of the additive genetic variance explained by all SNPs, a single SNP, \( \text{CAPN1} \), and \( \text{CAST} \) genes of being >0 is reported within parentheses.

<sup>3</sup>Ph24h, pH at 24 h after slaughter; L*, lightness; a*, redness; b*, yellowness; DL, drip loss; CL, cooking loss; SF, shear force.
or regulatory region of CAST. Likewise, Krzęcio et al. (2005) detected a significant association between a mutation in the intronic region of CAST and DL in pork. CAST2959 exhibited an additive effect on pH24h, L*, a*, b*, and DL. Indirect effects of this gene might be hypothesized, as a consequence of known influences on regulation of glycolysis.

The A allele of CAPN530 was associated with increased b* and DL (Table 2). As for CAST, few studies have detected associations of the CAPN1 gene with DL, a*, or b*. Conversely, in pork, there is much evidence that CAPN1 and CAST SNPs are related to water-holding capacity traits. Because desmin is a known calpain 1, (mu/I) large subunit substrate, it might be argued that calpain 1, (mu/I) large subunit autolysis and activation may explain a portion of the variation of desmin degradation and activation/deactivation of drip channels, thus affecting the extent of DL.

There was no additive effect of CAPN4751 on the investigated traits, but we observed a dominance deviation for b* and CL. This result might be related to the high myofibril volume in double-muscled cattle, which increases the interstitial liquid and CL.

The results obtained from the association analysis showed an additive effect of three SNPs on important quality traits such as DL and color, but some associations of these SNPs for other cattle populations that were previously published could not be confirmed in our work (e.g., SF). The lack of association with SF might be due to the double-muscle mutation, because it is associated with decreased SF affecting collagen content, proportion of stable non-reducible cross-links, and type of muscle fiber. Further, as demonstrated by King et al. (2003), the effects of SNPs on SF were breed specific. In Piemontese cattle, effects of the investigated SNPs on MQ were small, so their impact in a breeding program might be trivial, demonstrating the fundamental role of SNP validation before the usage of polymorphism information in selection procedures.
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**Table S1** Descriptive statistics for meat quality traits.
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